

Investigating the Changing Sediment Loads of the World's Rivers: Problems and Findings

DES WALLING Department of Geography



International Sediment Initiative

Yellow River at Lijin, China, 1950 - 2000





CAUSES OF REDUCED SEDIMENT LOAD

Wang et al. (2006)Soil Conservation40%Climate Change30%Reservoir Trapping30%

RECENT CHANGES IN THE SEDIMENT LOAD OF THE YELLOW RIVER

Longer-term mean annual suspended sediment load (1950s – 1970s) 1.1 x 10⁹ t year⁻¹

Mean annual suspended sediment load in the 1980s 0.8 x 10⁹ t year⁻¹

Mean annual suspended sediment load in the 1990s *ca.* 0.4 x 10⁹ t year⁻¹

Mean annual suspended sediment load 2000-2004 *ca.* 0.15 x 10⁹ t year⁻¹ What about the sediment loads of the world's rivers more generally?



URBANISATION













Rio Magdalena at Calamar, Colombia, 1972 - 1998







Kolyma River at Srednekansk, Siberia, 1942-1989



Danube River at Ceatal-Izmail, Romania, 1931 - 2004





800000 km²

Investigating the Changing Sediment Loads of the World's Rivers

DATA ISSUES

- Data Availability/Accessibility
- Length of Record
- Data Reliability
 Sampling Procedures
 Sampling Frequency
 Precision versus Accuracy
- Temporal Resolution
 Sampling Frequency
 Use of Rating Curves

Reduction in Sediment Monitoring Activity

- USA and Canada: ceased monitoring at many stations
- USSR: monitoring ceased at many stations after the breakup of the USSR
- Africa: monitoring initiated by colonial authorities ceased or reduced e.g. Kenya, Ghana etc

DATA ISSUES

- Data Availability/Accessibility
- Length of Record
- Data Reliability
 Sampling Procedures
 Sampling Frequency
 Precision versus Accuracy
- Temporal Resolution
 Sampling Frequency
 Use of Rating Curves

The Mekong Example:



Year		l	ocation		
	Chiang Saen	Luang Prabang	Nong Khai	Mukdahan	Pakse
1960	22	8		9	9
1961	20	105		60	109
1962	5			71	44
1963				32	
1964				42	
1965				38	
1966				35	
1967				42	
1968	38			45	
1969	73			66	
1970	83			73	
1971	71			58	
1972	65		58	72	
1973	33		89	74	
1974	33		87	71	
1975	9		33	36	
1976			27	16	
1977			46	26	
1978			47	26	
1979				27	
1980				25	
1981			21	22	
1982			16	19	
1983			18		
1984			16	20	
1985		2	4	1	
1986		22	18	18	
1987		43	15	4	
1988		41	14	6	
1989		44	20	11	
1990		37	22	14	
1991		18	14	19	
1992		37	23	21	
1993				19	
1994	48		24	22	
1995	45		15	18	
1996	32		20	19	
1997	39	12	25	11	11
1998	38	12	26	35	10
1999	40	7	29	43	12
2000	40	9	27	41	14
2001	38	11	30	42	13
2002	38	9	42	38	11
2003	36				

NUMBER OF DATA DAYS FOR INDIVIDUAL YEARS FOR THE SEDIMENT MEASURING STATIONS ON THE MEKONG RIVER



The use of data from Water Quality Monitoring Programmes?

- Sampling procedures
- Sampling frequency

The Reliability of the TSS data provided by the Mekong River Commission Water Quality Monitoring Programme





Mekong River at Mukdahan



DATA ISSUES

- Data Availability/Accessibility
- Length of Record
- Data Reliability
 Sampling Procedures
 Sampling Frequency
 Precision versus Accuracy



Temporal Resolution
 Sampling Frequency
 Use of Rating Curves

River Indus at Kotri, Pakistan, 1931 - 2003



Chao Phraya River at Ban Phai Lom, Thailand, 1961 - 2002



Bei-Nan River, Taiwan, 1948 - 2002



River Rhine at Lobith, The Netherlands, 1959 - 2005



Adding the Complexity

- Aggregation effects
- Buffering and attenuation
- The temporal dimension: shortterm versus long-term changes

Buffering Effects

The example of the Ob River





	Q (km³ a ⁻¹)	Load (10 ⁶ t a ⁻¹)
Belogor'ye	322	28.4
Salekhard	396	16.2
% Change	+25%	- 40%

Based on Bobrovitskaya et al. (1996)



Ob River at Belegor'ye, Russia, 1936 - 2000



Ob River at Salekhard, Russia, 1936 - 2000

The Temporal Dimension

Sediment Input to the Black Sea from its Main Tributary Rivers



Based on Degens et al. (1991)

Yellow River at Lijin, China

